

ON-LINE SUPPLEMENT

AN OFFICIAL ATS/IDSA STATEMENT: DIAGNOSIS, TREATMENT AND PREVENTION OF NONTUBERCULOUS MYCOBACTERIAL DISEASES

NTM Writing Committee

TAXONOMY

New NTM* 1990-2006

<i>Mycobacterium avium</i> subsp. <i>paratuberculosis</i>	1990
<i>Mycobacterium avium</i> subsp. <i>silvaticum</i>	1990
<i>Mycobacterium cookii</i>	1990
<i>Mycobacterium alvei</i>	1992
<i>Mycobacterium confluentis</i>	1992
<i>Mycobacterium madagascariense</i>	1992
<i>Mycobacterium peregrinum</i>	1992
<i>Mycobacterium brumae</i>	1993
<i>Mycobacterium celatum</i>	1993
<i>Mycobacterium genavense</i>	1993
<i>Mycobacterium hiberniae</i>	1993
<i>Mycobacterium intermedium</i>	1993
<i>Mycobacterium chlorophenolicum</i>	1994
<i>Mycobacterium branderi</i>	1995
<i>Mycobacterium interjectum</i>	1995
<i>Mycobacterium mucogenicum</i>	1995
<i>Mycobacterium conspicuum</i>	1996
<i>Mycobacterium hodleri</i>	1996

<i>Mycobacterium lentiflavum</i>	1996
<i>Mycobacterium hassiacum</i>	1997
<i>Mycobacterium mageritense</i>	1997
<i>Mycobacterium novocastrense</i>	1997
<i>Mycobacterium triplex</i>	1997
<i>Mycobacterium bohemicum</i>	1998
<i>Mycobacterium heidelbergense</i>	1998
<i>Mycobacterium goodii</i>	1999
<i>Mycobacterium murale</i>	1999
<i>Mycobacterium tusciae</i>	1999
<i>Mycobacterium wolinskyi</i>	1999
<i>Mycobacterium botniense</i>	2000
<i>Mycobacterium elephantis</i>	2000
<i>Mycobacterium kubicae</i>	2000
<i>Mycobacterium septicum</i>	2000
<i>Mycobacterium doricum</i>	2001
<i>Mycobacterium frederiksbergense</i>	2001
<i>Mycobacterium heckeshornense</i>	2001
<i>Mycobacterium immunogenum</i>	2001
<i>Mycobacterium holsaticum</i>	2002
<i>Mycobacterium lacus</i>	2002
<i>Mycobacterium palustre</i>	2002
<i>Mycobacterium vanbaalenii</i>	2002
<i>Mycobacterium montefiorensis</i>	2003
<i>Mycobacterium shottsii</i>	2003

<i>Mycobacterium boenickei</i>	2004
<i>Mycobacterium brisbanense</i>	2004
<i>Mycobacterium canariasense</i>	2004
<i>Mycobacterium chimaera</i>	2004
<i>Mycobacterium cosmeticum</i>	2004
<i>Mycobacterium houstonense</i>	2004
<i>Mycobacterium nebraskense</i>	2004
<i>Mycobacterium neworleansense</i>	2004
<i>Mycobacterium parascrofulaceum</i>	2004
<i>Mycobacterium parmense</i>	2004
<i>Mycobacterium psychrotolerans</i>	2004
<i>Mycobacterium pyrenivorans</i>	2004
<i>Mycobacterium saskatchewanense</i>	2004
<i>Mycobacterium florentinum</i>	2005
<i>Mycobacterium pseudoshottsii</i>	2005
<i>Mycobacterium arupense</i>	2006
<i>Mycobacterium aubagnense</i>	2006
<i>Mycobacterium bolletii</i>	2006
<i>Mycobacterium colombiense</i>	2006
<i>Mycobacterium conceptionense</i>	2006
<i>Mycobacterium fluoranthenivorans</i>	2006
<i>Mycobacterium massiliense</i>	2006
<i>Mycobacterium monacense</i>	2006
<i>Mycobacterium phocaicum</i>	2006

*A comprehensive list of all validated NTM species can be found online at

www.bacterio.cict.fr/m/mycobacterium.html

LABORATORY PROCEDURES

Blood culture systems for mycobacteria. Currently, the Isolator system (Wampole Laboratories, Cranbury, NJ) and the BACTEC Myco/F (Becton Dickinson Microbiology Systems, Sparks MD) and non-radiometric liquid media such as BACTEC Myco/F lytic medium, ESP Myco (Trek Diagnostic System, Westlake, OH), MB/BacT ALERT 3D (BioMérieux, Marcy L'Etoile, France) are available for mycobacterial culture of blood.

Broth (liquid) media culture systems for mycobacteria. The BACTEC 460TB System is a semi-automated radiometric system for the detection of mycobacteria. The instrument monitors the metabolism of [^{14}C] palmitic acid as a carbon source which is converted to $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ by mycobacteria. The rate and amount of $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ are directly proportional to the growth rate of the organisms in the media. The average time to detection for NTM is less than 7 days. Other continuously monitored, fully automated, non-radiometric systems have also recently been introduced for the growth and detection of NTM. These systems include the BACTEC 9000MB and the BACTEC MGIT 960 (Becton Dickinson) along with the ESP (Extra Sensing Power) Culture System II (Trek Diagnostic Systems) and the MB/BacT ALERT 3D (BioMérieux). The BACTEC 9000MB System uses the same fluorescence quenching based oxygen sensor as does the previously described MGIT System while the ESP Culture System is based on detection of pressure change in the head-space above the broth media resulting from gas production or consumption due to the growth of microorganisms. The MB/BacT ALERT 3D System utilizes a colorimetric CO_2 sensor to detect growth in broth. Disadvantages of these broth systems include inability to observe mycobacterial colony morphology, difficulty in ascertaining mixed cultures, extensive use of syringes and needles, and the necessity of radioisotope disposal. In clinical studies, detection and recovery rates for all of these broth-culture systems are comparable to each other and superior to those of conventional solid media.

Susceptibility Testing for Taxonomy (Identification) of RGM

Preliminary taxonomic differentiation of the RGM may be facilitated with selected drugs and some antimicrobial susceptibility results using broth microdilution MICs and agar disk diffusion. Briefly, *M. chelonae* and *M. abscessus* isolates can be differentiated from *M. fortuitum* on the basis of polymyxin b susceptibility. *M. chelonae* isolates can be differentiated from *M. abscessus* isolates on the basis of cefoxitin susceptibility.

Genotypic Methods for Identification of NTM

INNO-LiPA INNO-LiPA Mycobacteria (Innogenetics, Ghent, Belgium) is a line probe assay-based on reverse-hybridization technology. The system is currently available only in Europe. However, the kit includes probes for all of the common NTM species based on species and intraspecies specific polymorphisms of the mycobacterial 16S-23S internal transcribed spacer region (ITS) obtained with amplification by PCR. The ITS of approximately 280 bp which separates the 16S and 23S rDNA is responsible for species-specific or intraspecies polymorphisms in some species of NTM and is known to be more polymorphic than the 16S rRNA region (1). The kit may be used with species grown on solid or in liquid culture media (2). Currently, probes are available for 16 species of nontuberculous mycobacteria including *M. xenopi*, *M. gordonae*, *M. avium*, *M. intracellulare*, *M. scrofulaceum*, *M. chelonae* (3 probes), and *M. kansasii* (3 probes). The *M. kansasii* probes are designed to identify at least 5 groups within this species, a unique feature of this method. There is also a MAIS probe that reacts with *M. avium*, *M. intracellulare*, *M. scrofulaceum*, *M. malmoense*, *M. haemophilum*, and the “MAI-X” isolates (defined as “intermediate” MAC by positive hybridization with MAC AccuProbe and a negative reaction with separate *M. avium* and *M. intracellulare* probes) (3). Recent improvements have been made with this assay that increase the number of identifiable NTM species to include *M. celatum*, *M. simiae*, *M. ulcerans*, *M. malmoense*, *M. haemophilum*, and *M. smegmatis*. However, the probe designed to be specific for the *M. fortuitum* complex and *M. smegmatis* may also cross-react with *M. thermoresistibile*, *M. agri*, *M. alvei*, *M. mageritense*, and *M. senegalense* and thus should be used cautiously when testing species within the *M. fortuitum*

complex (3). Rare strains of *M. fortuitum* and *M. gordonae* do not hybridize with their specific line probes. Furthermore, strains of the newly described species, *M. parascrofulaceum*, which hybridized with the MAIS probe and the species specific *M. scrofulaceum* probe have recently been described (4). In another study, the recently described (but not officially validated) species, *M. sherrisii*, hybridized with the line probe specific for *M. simiae* and also produced a weak band corresponding with the line probe specific for *M. gordonae* (5).

- 1) Abed Y, Bollet C, De Micco P: Identificaiton and strain differentiation of *Mycobacterium* species on the basis of DNA 16S-23S spacer region polymorphsim. *Res. Microbiol.* 1995; 146:405-413.
- 2) Somoskövi Á, Song Q, Mester J, Tanner C, Hale YM, Parsons LM, Salfinger M: Use of molecular methods to identify the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex (MTBC) and other mycobacterial species and to detect rifampin resistance in MTBC isolates following growth detection with the BACTEC MGIT 960 system. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 2003; 41:2822-2826.
- 3) Tortoli E, Mariottini A, Mazzarelli G: Evaluation of INNO-LiPA MYCOBACTERIA v2: Improved reverse hybridization multiple DNA probe assay for mycobacterial identification. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 2003; 41:4418-4420.
- 4) Tortoli E, Chianura L, Fabbro L, Mariottini A, Martín-Casabona N, Mazzarelli G, Russo C, Spinelli M: Infections due to the newly described species *Mycobacterium parascrofulaceum* . *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 2005; 43:4286-4287.
- 5) Tortoli E, Mariottini A, Mazzarelli G: *Mycobacterium sherrisii* isolation from a patient with pulmonary disease. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2006; in press.

Pyrosequencing The conventional sequencing method for identification of the NTM are limited by the length of the nucleic acid sequence and the time required to interpret long sequences. Pyrosequencing technology is a novel method of nucleic acid sequencing that rapidly determines short sequences (typically 20-30 bases of DNA) in a semi-automated mode. The method also differs from conventional sequencing methods in that no gels or dyes are required. The biotinylated PCR product is processed to a single strand DNA template. The sequencing primer is then hybridized to the DNA template. Nucleotide incorporation of the complementary nucleotides results in light generation evidenced by peaks forming a program. These peaks are then evaluated using instrument software for isolate identification. In previous studies this method has compared favorably with conventional and other molecular methodologies (1).

- 1) Tuohy MJ, Hall GS, Sholtis M, Procop GW. Pyrosequencing as a tool for the identification of common isolates of Mycobacterium sp. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2005 Apr;51(4):245-50.

Clinical Presentations and Diagnostic Criteria

Pulmonary Disease: Chest radiographs and high resolution chest computed tomography (HRCT) scans

E1) Chest radiograph: 66 year-old man, smoker, with MAC lung disease associated with large right upper lobe cavity and bilateral reticulonodular densities. Patient also has indwelling catheter for aminoglycoside administration.

E2) Chest radiograph: 41 year-old female, smoker, with MAC lung disease associated with left apical confluent density with cavitation.

E3a) Chest radiograph: 73 year-old non-smoking female with MAC lung disease associated with diffuse bilateral nodular and reticulonodular densities.

E3b) HRCT from the same patient demonstrating bilateral nodular densities and bronchiectasis.

E4a) Chest radiograph: 79 year-old non-smoking female with MAC lung disease associated with primarily mid-lung nodular and reticulonodular densities and cavitation.

E4b) HRCT from the same patient demonstrating nodules and bronchiectasis.

E4c) HRCT from the same patient demonstrating nodules, bronchiectasis and cavitation.

- E5a) Chest radiograph: 55 year-old non-smoking female with MAC lung disease associated with cavitary right middle lobe (RML) density.
- E5b) Lateral chest radiograph from the same patient with primarily RML density.
- E5c) HRCT from the same patient showing destruction of the RML with bronchiectasis, and nodules in the lower lobes.
- E6) Chest radiograph: 42 year old man, smoker, with *M. kansasii* disease associated with bilateral upper lobe reticulonodular densities.
- E7) Chest radiograph: 77 year-old man, smoker, with *M. kansasii* disease associated with bilateral mid and upper lung field reticulonodular densities with cavitation.
- E8a) Chest radiograph: 70 year-old female, non-smoker, with *M. abscessus* disease associated with bilateral reticulonodular densities and cavitation.
- E8b) HRCT from the same patient showing nodules and bronchiectasis in right middle lobe, lingula and lower lobes.
- E8c) HRCT from the same patient showing nodules, bronchiectasis and cavitation.
- E9) HRCT from 22 year old male, non-smoker, with cystic fibrosis and *M. abscessus* lung disease. Patient with diffuse nodular and reticulonodular densities including “tree-in-bud” appearance of densities in lung periphery.
- E10a) Chest radiograph: 30 year old male, non-smoker, with hypersensitivity-like lung disease associated with diffuse reticular densities following hot-tub exposure.
- E10b) HRCT same patient showing diffuse “ground glass” appearance of lung parenchyma.

Skin, Soft Tissue, Bone Infection

- E11) *M. abscessus* sternal wound infection following median sternotomy.
- E12) *M. marinum* infection of 5th digit after scraping hand on the hull of a boat.
- E13) *M. marinum* nodular lesions on hand following trauma cleaning a fish tank.
- E14) *M. chelonae* lesion on the hand (with closely associated area of previous debridement) following penetrating injury to the hand.
- E15) Cutaneous *M. chelonae* lesions associated with disseminated *M. chelonae* disease in a patient with rheumatoid arthritis on chronic oral steroid therapy.

Nontuberculous Mycobacterial Species: Clinical Aspects and Treatment Guidelines

Mycobacterium avium Complex (MAC)

Controversies and Unresolved Questions in the Management of MAC Lung Disease

There is not demonstrated superiority of one macrolide (clarithromycin or azithromycin) in the management of MAC lung disease. There have been no head to head trials comparing clarithromycin and azithromycin containing regimens for MAC lung disease. Limited information based on the open noncomparative trials suggests that clarithromycin may be more effective than azithromycin, but the differences are generally less than 10%. It is assumed that the two agents can be used interchangeably in MAC treatment regimens. For instance, patients intolerant of clarithromycin can be tried on azithromycin (and vice versa). It has been observed that patients who have hypersensitivity responses (usually rash) to clarithromycin can be successfully treated with azithromycin (and vice versa).

The issue of the optimal dose for either of the macrolides also remains unresolved. Doses of clarithromycin greater than 1000 mg/day are associated with increased mortality in AIDS patients, however, a similar association has not been demonstrated in non-AIDS patients. The incidence of intolerance or toxicity clearly increases with higher doses of both drugs, e.g., clarithromycin greater than 1000 mg daily or azithromycin greater than 250-300 mg daily, but dose-response data are not available to answer whether higher doses are associated with greater treatment efficacy or whether response rate relates more to serum level than to dose (1-3).

There is not a demonstrated advantage of routinely including an injectable agent (amikacin or streptomycin) early in MAC treatment regimens.. Most published studies of MAC lung disease patients treated with a macrolide-containing regimen have employed an injectable agent early (in the first 2-4 months) in the course of therapy (4-10). There have been no studies directly comparing the efficacy of macrolide-containing regimens with or without inclusion of an injectable drug. Early studies with traditional antituberculous medications (isoniazid, rifampin and ethambutol) suggested that the addition of streptomycin early in the treatment course improved microbiologic response

rates (11). Additionally, there are no studies comparing the relative efficacy of various parenteral drugs with activity against MAC such as streptomycin, amikacin or kanamycin.

There is not demonstrated superiority of one rifamycin (rifabutin or rifampin) in the treatment of MAC lung disease, but because of frequent adverse events with rifabutin, most experts recommend rifampin. The choice of a rifamycin, rifampin or rifabutin, has also not been directly compared. There are theoretical reasons to believe that rifabutin, a derivative of rifamycin S, is superior to rifampin including better in vitro activity than rifampin for MAC (12,13). Rifabutin also has better in vivo activity than rifampin for prophylaxis and treatment of disseminated MAC disease in studies of HIV sero-positive patients. (13-15). Lastly, rifabutin has less hepatic cytochrome P450 stimulation than rifampin (16) resulting in less effect on clarithromycin levels than rifampin. The clinical consequences of this reduction in plasma concentration of clarithromycin are uncertain and measured clarithromycin levels in peripheral blood may not adequately reflect its antimycobacterial efficacy. No study has yet demonstrated that the combination of rifampin and clarithromycin leads to increased treatment failure rates or that rifampin-containing regimens promote the emergence of macrolide-resistant MAC isolates. Unlike clarithromycin, serum levels of azithromycin appear to be unaffected by the addition of companion drugs including rifampin or rifabutin.

However, rifabutin is also frequently associated with side effects and toxicity. In multidrug regimens that contain rifabutin, rifabutin is the drug least well tolerated and most often associated with adverse events including those that require alteration or discontinuation of medication (4-6, 8-10,17-19). In particular, the combination of rifabutin with clarithromycin, which increases rifabutin levels, can cause significant leukopenia, uveitis and polyarthralgia, warranting considerable caution and close observation when administering this drug combination. While azithromycin does not affect rifabutin levels and is theoretically a better companion drug than clarithromycin, rifabutin toxicity is still a major problem with azithromycin containing regimens (17). Lastly, rifabutin is currently more expensive than rifampin.

There have not been studies evaluating 2-drug vs 3-drug regimens for the treatment of MAC lung disease, but in general, 2-drug regimens are not recommended.

There have been no studies evaluating 2 drugs versus 3 drug regimens for MAC lung disease. In HIV sero-positive patients with disseminated MAC, the combination of ethambutol and clarithromycin was as effective for conversion of blood cultures to negative as ethambutol, clarithromycin and rifabutin, although the emergence of macrolide resistant isolates was significantly greater with the 2-drug regimen (14). There is concern that, with a large burden of organisms associated with MAC lung disease, especially cavitary MAC lung disease, that 2-drug therapy regimens, either daily or intermittent, would predispose to the emergence of macrolide resistant MAC isolates. As an alternative to macrolide/ethambutol, two-drug regimens including macrolide/rifamycin (especially rifampin) and macrolide/quinolone should not be used.

The roles for other medications such as fluoroquinolones and clofazimine in the treatment of MAC lung disease is not established. A recent study from Canada involving patients primarily with nodular bronchiectatic disease suggested that clofazimine with clarithromycin and ethambutol was effective and prevented the emergence of MAC resistant isolates (20). However, no control group of clarithromycin and ethambutol (without clofazimine) was included for comparison. Clofazimine has not been effective for treatment or prophylaxis of disseminated MAC disease and is contraindicated for AIDS patients because of increased mortality in some clofazimine-containing regimens (21,22). Some experts believe that clofazimine is valuable in multi-drug regimens for treating MAC. Currently, clofazimine is available in the United States for treatment of MAC disease only with an IND application to the FDA for each patient. The role of other agents, especially the 8-methoxy fluoroquinolone, moxifloxacin, is also unclear. It is unknown if these agents have activity against MAC as monotherapy or in multidrug treatment combinations. Fluoroquinolones as a drug class have known and variable in vivo activity against MAC. Common use in the treatment of respiratory infections, including the inadvertent or intentional use as monotherapy in MAC-infected patients, may have contributed to a substantial incidence of resistance in MAC isolates. The lack of proven utility of these agents, particularly as monotherapy, should warrant substantial caution by clinicians when considering their use in patients who have known or suspected MAC infections.

Given the apparent lack of correlation between *in vitro* susceptibility testing and clinical response in MAC lung disease for agents other than the macrolides, the value of adding additional drugs to which the organism appears susceptible *in vitro* to standard therapy is unknown.

Previous unsuccessful or failed therapy for MAC lung disease, with or without a macrolide, decreases the chances for subsequent treatment success, even with macrolide susceptible MAC isolates. Patients who have failed prior MAC therapy, with or without a macrolide, have lower sputum conversion rates with macrolide containing treatment regimens, even with macrolide susceptible MAC isolates, than do patients with no prior therapy (4,7-10,17). The explanation for this observation is not clear but may reflect unidentified host factors that inhibit treatment response regardless of the regimen utilized or unidentified differences in nonmacrolide drug susceptibilities.

Some beneficial effect of macrolide containing treatment regimens for patients with bronchiectasis could be due to immune modulating effects of the macrolide. There is evidence that macrolides may have an immune modulating effect for patients with bronchiectasis associated with panbronchiolitis and CF (23). It is possible that some of the symptomatic and radiographic improvement seen with macrolide treatment regimens in patients with nodular bronchiectatic disease might be due to this effect. Available evidence does not yet support the use of macrolides, particularly as monotherapy, as anti-inflammatory therapy in adult patients with bronchiectasis unrelated to CF.

1. Wallace RJ Jr, Brown BA, Griffith DE. Drug intolerance to high-dose clarithromycin among elderly patients. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* Mar-Apr 1993; 16(3):215-21.
2. Brown BA, Wallace RJ Jr, Griffith DE, Girard WM. Clarithromycin-induced hepatotoxicity. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* Apr 1995; 20(4):1073-4.
3. Brown BA, Griffith DE, Girard WM, Levin J, Wallace RJ Jr. Relationship of adverse events to serum drug levels in patients receiving high-dose azithromycin for mycobacterial lung disease. *Clin Infect Dis.* May 1997; 24(5):958-64.
4. Wallace RJ Jr, Brown BA, Griffith DE, Girard WM, Murphy DT. Clarithromycin regimens for pulmonary *Mycobacterium avium* complex. The first 50 patients. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 1996; 153:1766-72.
5. Wallace RJ Jr, Brown BA, Griffith DE, Girard WM, Murphy DT, Onyi GO, Steingrube VA, Mazurek GH. Initial clarithromycin monotherapy for *Mycobacterium avium-intra cellulare* complex lung disease. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 1994; 149(5):1335-41.

6. Griffith DE, Brown BA, Girard WM, Murphy DT, Wallace RJ Jr. Azithromycin activity against *Mycobacterium avium* complex lung disease in patients who were not infected with human immunodeficiency virus. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* November 1996; 23(5):983-9.
7. Tanaka E, Kimoto T, Tsuyuguchi K, Watanabe I, Matsumoto H, Niimi A, Suzuki K, Murayama T, Amitani R, Kuze F. Effect of clarithromycin regimen for *Mycobacterium avium* complex pulmonary disease. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 1999; 160(3):866-72.
8. Kobashi Y, Matsushima T. The effect of combined therapy according to the guidelines or the treatment of *Mycobacterium avium* complex pulmonary disease. *Intern. Med.* 2003; 42(8):670-5.
9. Griffith DE, Brown BA, Murphy DT, Girard WM, Couch LA, Wallace RJ Jr. Initial (6-month) results of three-times-weekly azithromycin in treatment regimens for *Mycobacterium avium* complex lung disease in human immunodeficiency virus-negative patients. *J. Infect. Dis.* 1998; 178:121-6.
10. Griffith DE, Brown BA, Cegielski P, Murphy DT, Wallace RJ Jr. Early results (at 6 months) with intermittent clarithromycin-including regimens For lung disease due to *Mycobacterium avium* complex. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2000; 302:288-92.
11. Ahn CH, McLarty IW, Ahn SS, Ahn SI, Hurst GA. Diagnostic criteria for pulmonary disease caused by *Mycobacterium kansasii* and *Mycobacterium intracellulare*. *Am. Rev. Respir. Dis.* 1982; 125:388-39.
12. Woodley CL, Kilburn JO. In vitro susceptibility of *Mycobacterium avium* complex and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains to a spiro-piperidyl rifamycin. *Am. Rev. Respir. Dis.* September 1982; 126(3):586-7.
13. Dautzenberg B, Castellani P, Pellegrin JL, Vittecoq D, Trufot-Pernot C, Pirotta N, Sassclla D. Early bactericidal activity of rifabutin versus that of placebo in treatment of disseminated *Mycobacterium avium* complex bacteremia in AIDS patients. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 1996; 40:1722-1725.
14. Gordin FM, Sullam PM, Shafran SD, Cohn DL, Wynne B, Paxton L, Perry K, Horsburgh CR Jr. A randomized, placebo-controlled study of rifabutin added to a regimen of clarithromycin and ethambutol for treatment of disseminated infection with *Mycobacterium avium* complex. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 1999; 28:1080-1085.
15. Nightingale SD, Cameron DW, Gordin FM, Sullam PM, Cohn DL, Chaisson LE, Eron PD, Sparti B, Bihari DL, Kaufman JJ, Stern DD, Pearce WG, Weinberg A, LaMarca, Siegal FP. Two controlled trials of rifabutin prophylaxis against *Mycobacterium avium* complex infections in AIDS. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 1993; 329:828-833.
16. Wallace RJ Jr., Brown BA, Griffith DE, Girard WM, Tanaka K. Reduced serum levels of clarithromycin in patients treated with multidrug regimens including rifampin or rifabutin for *Mycobacterium avium* intracellular infection. *J. Infect. Dis.* 1995; 171(3):747-50.
17. Griffith DE, Brown BA, Girard WM, Griffith BE, Couch LA, Wallace RJ Jr. Azithromycin-containing regimens for treatment of *Mycobacterium avium* Complex lung disease. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* June 2001; 32(11):1547-53.
18. Griffith DE, Brown BA, Wallace RJ Jr. Varying dosages of rifabutin affect white blood cell and platelet counts in human immunodeficiency virus--negative

- patients who are receiving multidrug regimens for pulmonary *Mycobacterium avium* complex disease. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 1996; 23(6):1321-2.
19. Griffith DE, Brown BA, Girard WM, Wallace RJ Jr. Adverse events associated with high-dose rifabutin in macrolide-containing regimens for the treatment of *Mycobacterium avium* complex lung disease. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 1995; 21(3):594-8.
 20. Field SK, Cowie RL. "Treatment of *Mycobacterium avium-intracellulare* complex lung disease with a macrolide, ethambutol, and clofazimine". *Chest.* 2003;124:1482-1486.
 21. Chaisson RE, Keiser P, Pierce M, Frssel WJ, Ruskin J, Lahart C, Benson CA, Meek K, Siepman N, Craft JC. Clarithromycin and ethambutol with or without clofazimine for the treatment of bacteremic *Mycobacterium avium* complex disease in patients with HIV infection. *AIDS* 1997; 11:311-317.
 22. Shafran SD, Singer J, Phillips DP, Salit I, Walmsley SL, Fong IW, et al. A comparison of two regimens for the treatment of *Mycobacterium avium* complex bacteremia in AIDS: rifabutin, ethambutol, and clarithromycin versus rifampin, ethambutol, clofazimine and ciprofloxacin. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 1996; 335:377.
 23. Rubin BK, Henke MO. Immunomodulatory activity and effectiveness of macrolides in chronic airway disease. *Chest.* 2004; 125:70S-78S.

***M. kansasii* Lung Disease**

See radiographs above.

***M. abscessus* Disease**

See radiographs above.

See skin lesion above.

***M. chelonae* Disease**

See skin lesion above.

***M. marinum* Disease**

See skin lesion above.

Other NTM Pathogens

M. asiaticum

The Organism *M. asiaticum* is a rarely isolated NTM species that was previously identified as *M. simiae*. Accurate identification of this organism requires molecular methods.

Clinical Presentation *M. asiaticum* has most frequently been reported as a pulmonary pathogen, however, it has also been reported as a cause of bursitis and tenosynovitis (1-5). Pulmonary disease has been associated with both cavitary and nodular lung disease (1,2).

Treatment The antimicrobial susceptibility pattern is not firmly established due to limited experience with this organism. Some reports suggest that *M. asiaticum* isolates are susceptible to ethambutol, ethionamide, rifampin, isoniazid and streptomycin, while others have reported resistance to isoniazid and rifampin (2,3,5). Treatment success has been reported with antituberculosis medications (1-3,5). The *in vitro* susceptibility of *M. asiaticum* to macrolides and quinolones is also not determined with certainty; however, if an isolate is susceptible to these agents *in vitro*, they may also be useful in multi-drug treatment regimens.

1. Blacklock ZM, Dawson DJ, Kane DW, McEvoy D. *Mycobacterium asiaticum* as a potential pulmonary pathogen for humans. A clinical and bacteriologic review of five cases. *Am. Rev. Respir. Dis.* 1983; 127:241-244.
2. Taylor LA, Williams JM, Santiago S. Pulmonary disease caused by *Mycobacterium asiaticum*. *Tubercle.* 1990; 71(4):303-5.
3. Dawson DJ, Blacklock ZM, Ashdown LR, Bottger EC. *Mycobacterium asiaticum* as the probable causative agent in a case of olecranon bursitis. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* July 1995; 23 (4): 753-756.
4. Foulkes GD, Floyd JC, Stephens JL. Flexor tenosynovitis due to *Mycobacterium asiaticum*. *J. Hand Surg. (AM).* 1998; 23 (4): 753-6.
5. Wayne LG, Sramek HA. Agents of newly recognized or infrequently encountered mycobacterial diseases. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* January 1992; 5 (1): 1-25.

M. celatum

The Organism *M. celatum* is indistinguishable from MAC biochemically and previously has shown a weakly positive reaction with the *M. tuberculosis* Accuprobe (GenProbe, San Diego, Ca) (1-5). A modification of the commercial protocol has, however,

apparently remedied this problem. Identification usually requires HPLC or molecular testing.

Clinical Presentation *M. celatum* has most frequently been reported as a cause of disseminated disease in patients with AIDS. Pulmonary disease caused by *M. celatum* has rarely been reported in nonimmunocompromised patients and presents in a manner indistinguishable from other NTM lung diseases including, at least occasionally, with lung cavitation (1-7).

Treatment The antimicrobial susceptibility pattern is not firmly established but recent reports suggest that *M. celatum* isolates are susceptible *in vitro* to amikacin, streptomycin, capreomycin, ethambutol, isoniazid, rifabutin (not rifampin), ofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, clarithromycin, and azithromycin (1,8,9).

The multidrug regimen outlined for pulmonary disease should also be effective for AIDS patients with disseminated disease. *M. celatum* disseminated disease has been reported from a patient receiving rifabutin prophylaxis. It is unknown if macrolide chemoprophylaxis is effective for this organism.

Successful treatment of pulmonary disease has been reported with multidrug therapy including clarithromycin, ethambutol, rifabutin and ciprofloxacin (7).

1. Butler WR, O'Connor SP, Yakrus MA, et al. *Mycobacterium celatum* sp, nov. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* 1993; 43:539-548.
2. Christiansen DC, Roberts GD, Patel R. *Mycobacterium celatum*, an emerging pathogen and cause of false positive amplified *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* direct test. *Dia. Microbiol. and Infect. Dis.* 49 (2004) 19-24.
3. Piersimoni C, Zitti PG, Nista D, Bomigia S. *Mycobacterium celatum*. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2003; Vol. 9, No. 3.
4. Butler WR, O'Connor SP, Yakrus MA, et al. Cross-reactivity of genetic probe for detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* with newly described species *Mycobacterium celatum*. *J. Clin. Microbio.* 1994; 32:536-538.
5. Somoskovi A, Hotaling JE, Fitzgerald M, et al. False-positive results for *Mycobacterium celatum* with the AccuProbe *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex assay. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 2000; 38:2743-2745.
6. Bux-Gewehr I, Hagen HP, Rusch-Gerdes S, Feurle GE. Fatal pulmonary infection with *Mycobacterium celatum* in an apparently immunocompetent patient. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 1998; 36:587-588.
7. Zurawski CA, Cage GD, Rimland D, et al. Pneumonia and bacteremia due to *Mycobacterium celatum* masquerading as *Mycobacterium xenopi* in patients with AIDS: An under-diagnosed problem? *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 1997; 24:140-143.

8. Piersimoni C, Tortoli E, de Lalla F, et al. Isolation of *Mycobacterium celatum* from patients infected with human immunodeficiency virus. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 1997; 24:144-147.
9. Tortoli E, Piersimoni C, Bacosi D, et al. Isolation of the newly described species *Mycobacterium celatum* from AIDS patients. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 1995; 33:137-140.

M. conspicuum

The Organism *M. conspicuum* grows slowly and may require at least three weeks for the appearance of colonies on solid media. The number of isolates of *M. conspicuum* may have previously been underestimated due to the organism's unusually low temperature growth requirement (22-31° C) on solid media and slow growth. Growth in liquid media occurs only at 37°C. By 16S rRNA gene sequence this species is most closely related to *M. asiaticum* and *M. gordonae*.

Clinical Presentation *M. conspicuum* has been associated with disseminated disease in immunocompromised patients including AIDS patients (1).

Treatment In general, *M. conspicuum* isolates are susceptible to ciprofloxacin, amikacin, rifabutin, clarithromycin or azithromycin with intermediate susceptibility to ethambutol and isoniazid. Isolates are resistant to rifampin and pyrazinamide (1).

1. Springer BE, Tortoli I, Richter R, Grunewald S, Ritsch-Gerdes K, Uschmann F, Suter MD, Collins RM, Kroppenstedt, Bottger EC. *Mycobacterium conspicuum* sp. nov.. a new species isolated from patients with disseminated infections. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 1995; 33:2805-2811.

M. shimoidei

The Organism A rarely isolated NTM previously identified as *M. terrae* complex. Firm identification requires molecular methods.

Clinical Presentation Cavitory pulmonary disease is the clinical setting from which most *M. shimoidei* isolates have been reported. (1-3). Most of these patients have had pre-existing or underlying lung disease. There has also been a report of disseminated *M. shimoidei* disease in a patient with AIDS. (4)

Treatment There has been variability in the *in vitro* susceptibilities reported for *M. shimoidei*. Isolates are generally susceptible to ethambutol, rifabutin, streptomycin, kanamycin and ethionamide and generally resistant to isoniazid and rifampin (2,3). Limited data suggest resistance to macrolides and quinolones, however, because of the sparse information available, *M. shimoidei* isolates should be tested against these agents. Clinical response to multi-drug treatment regimens has been poor, perhaps partly attributable to the underlying disease of patients with *M. shimoidei* infections (2-4).

1. Tsukamura M. *Mycobacterium shimoidei* sp. nov., nom. Rev., Lung Pathogen. *Inter. J. of Syst. Bacteriol.* 1982; p.67-69.
2. Tortoli E, Simonetti MT. Isolation of *Mycobacterium shimoidei* from a patient with cavitary pulmonary disease. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology.* August 1991; p.1754-1756.
3. Mayall B, Gurtler V, Irving L, Marzec A, Leslie D. Identification of *Mycobacterium shimoidei* by molecular techniques: case report and summary of the literature. *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis.* 3(2):169-173.
4. Bodmer FH, Overbeck J. Disseminated nontuberculous mycobacteriosis in AIDS patients. *Schweiz Med. Wochenschr.* 1994; 124:98-96.