Table 1. Learner impressions from semi-structured interviews collated by Kirkpatrick levels.

Kirkpatrick level	Representative learner impressions
Level 1 (participation, reaction)	 3 out of 4 learners completed 10 modules and associated assignments 1 learner withdrew after 8 modules (graduated fellowship)
Level 2a (satisfaction)	General course Feasible and enjoyable Sufficient time allotted per module, at times stressful during core clinical rotation Safe space for learning Assignments relevant and manageable Assignments requiring engagement of a third-party faculty were more challenging Tech features Interactive features allowed for immediate knowledge application, highly engaging Ability to return to asynchronous modules and reference links was highly valued Learners enjoyed working on their own schedule, at their own pace Adding virtual check-ins to asynchronous modules augmented learning Strong dislike for clunky LMS interface, difficult to navigate, resorted to email communication with mentors Virtual modules valued for real-time engagement with expert educators In-person discussion may benefit certain modules, but logistics considered impractical
Level 2b (knowledge/skill) Level 3 (behavioral change)	 Gained valuable insights into personal teaching style, areas for growth Ample opportunities for self-reflection and real-world application Month by month application and improvements to clinical teaching based on acquired skills Strongest knowledge acquisition reported when linked to interactive exercises or assignments Pairing with a medical education project would add valuable opportunity for knowledge application
Level 4a (organizational practice)	 Appreciated variety and quality of sustainable, technology-driven education modules available for future learners Highly valued exposure to multidisciplinary expert educator faculty